

FIH-Feldzertifizierung

Hockeystadion Olympiapark Berlin, Berlin, Deutschland

Die FIH freut sich, bestätigen zu können, dass dieses Hockeyfeld getestet wurde und nachweislich die Anforderungen an Konstruktion, Leistung und Spielerschutz eines FIH-Hockeyfeldes der Kategorie 2 erfüllt.

Hockey-Rasen	Domo Ultimate Pro EL15
Hergestellt von FIH	Sports & Leisure Group N.V – Domo
Preferred Supplier	Sports Grass

DR. NARINDER DHRUV BATRA PRÄSIDENT

Datum des Zertifikats: 24/03/2021

Das Feld ist zertifiziert bis: 18/08/2023

Anmerkungen:

- 1 Das Spielfeld wurde gemäß den FIH Hockey Turf & Field Standards Part 2 (Ausgabe 2021) getestet.
- 2 Eine nicht ordnungsgemäße Wartung des Feldes kann zu einer Verschlechterung der Leistung und Sicherheit des Feldes führen.
- 3 FIH behält sich das Recht vor, das Feld jederzeit erneut zu testen, um die fortlaufende Einhaltung der Standards zu überprüfen.

FlH

International Hockey Federation Fédération Internationale de Hockey ZERTIFIKAT N° CF-21-35



FIH field certification

Hockey Stadium Olympiapark Berlin, Berlin, Germany

The FIH is pleased to confirm that this hockey field has been tested and shown to satisfy the construction, performance, and player welfare requirements of an FIH Category 2 hockey field.

Hockey turf	Domo Ultimate Pro EL15
Manufactured by FIH	Sports & Leisure Group N.V – Domo
Preferred Supplier	Sports Grass

DR. NARINDER DHRUV BATRA PRESIDENT

Date of Certificate: 24/03/2021

The field is certified until: 18/08/2023

Notes:

1 The field was tested in accordance with FIH Hockey Turf & Field Standards - Part 2 (2021 edition).

2 Failure to maintain the field correctly may result in a deterioration in the performance and safety of the field.

3 FIH reserves the right to retest the field at any time, to verify ongoing compliance with its standards.

FlH

International Hockey Federation Fédération Internationale de Hockey **CERTIFICATE N° CF-21-35**



FIELD TEST REPORT

Field name / designation	Hockey Stadium Olympiapark Berlin							
City	Berli	in						
Country	Gerr	nany						
Category of field	2	FIH Global hockey turf						
Type of test	Initi	al field certification						







Page 1 of 17

1 Introduction

A hockey field is a major investment, so it is very important that it meets the expectations of players, funders, site operators, and those organising matches to be played on it. To ensure good quality fields are built, the FIH has developed its *Hockey Turf and Field Standard*s (HTFS). These define the qualities required from the playing surface and the layout and construction criteria of 11 a-side hockey fields.

The HTFS describes five categories of hockey fields, based on the various levels of play and use that takes place, from elite level competitions to grassroots development and community play. The field detailed in this report has been tested as a Category 2 field. This category of field is typically used for higher level national and international matches.

This report details the results of the field test recently undertaken. The field test included measurements of the sports performance and player welfare properties of the playing surface and an assessment of the field's irrigation system. A comprehensive series of quality control checks were also undertaken to verify that the installed hockey turf surface is the same as the product previously approved by the FIH, ensuring manufacturing mistakes have not occurred.

The tests were undertaken by a FIH accredited test institute. The results obtained are detailed on the following pages. Results highlighted in green show compliance with the requirements of the HTFS. Results highlighted in pink indicate non-compliance. When non-compliance is noted, further details are provided at the rear of this report. Results not highlighted are provided for information only.

On the basis of this report, the FIH will assess the suitability of the field for FIH Field Certification. If the field is found to comply with the FIH requirements, a certificate of compliance will be issued, and the field will be listed on the FIH website.

Fields less than 12 months old at the time of the initial field test are certified for 3 years from the date of the field test. Fields older than 12 months are certified for 2 years.

Over time and through use, the performance, condition and suitability of the field to host hockey matches will change. It is therefore important that the field is re-checked periodically. This allows the site operator to demonstrate that the field is continuing to provide a safe and suitable playing environment; re-checking is good practice and a simple way for the site operator to demonstrate they are continuing to meet their obligations to provide a facility that is fit for purpose. The FIH recommends, and some National Hockey Associations require, fields to be re-tested at the end of each certification period.



Please think about the environment before printing this report. If you do require a paper copy, please set your printer to print on both sides of the paper.



2 Field details

	Road	Berlin,
	City	Berlin
Location	State/Province/County	Berlin
	Country	Germany
	Post/Zip code	14053
	Name	Petra Freyni
Field owner's contact details	Position	
	Email	Petra.Freyni@SenInnDS.berlin.de
Date of construction (handow	ver month & year)	06/2020
Installed hockey turf (produc	t name)	Domo Ultimate Pro EL15
Manufacturer (FIH licensee)		Sports & Leisure Group N.V. – Domo Sports Grass
Hockey turf approval categ (as shown on FIH certificate)	lory	Global
Hockey turf certificate num (as shown on FIH certificate)	ber	2020-042
Field builder's name (only required if the field was built FIH Certified Field Builder)	by an FIH Preferred Supplier or	

3 Test institute details

Test Institute	Labor Lehmacher Schneider GmbH
FIH Accredited Field Test Engineer(s)	Matthias Schucht M.A.
Other participating field test engineers	Dr. Jens Bußmann
Test institute project / report reference	K3698





4 Test details

Date of test			18/0	06/202	0								
Field conditions a	t time of test	Irriç	gated	\boxtimes	Wet (rain)								
Air temperature (°C)		Min.		29	29,8		Max.			30,1		
Surface temperat		Min.		26	5,1		М	lax.		28,2			
Wind speed		Μ	lin.	0	.0		Μ	ax.			0.7		
(m/s)	irrigation test	s	Μ	lin.	0	.3		М	ax.			0.8	
Test positions –	spot tests, bo	all ro	ll & bal	ll roll de	eviation								
	6b					1a		1b		1c		1d	
	4a		4D			2a		2b		2c		2d	
6a 2a- 3a -	5a 5b			3b - 2b	d	3a	\boxtimes	3b		3c		3d	
					4a		4b		4c		4d		
ic	4c		4d	id		5a		5b		5c		5d	
	6C					6a	\boxtimes	6b		6C		6d	
	Direction 1 B				_	7a	\boxtimes	7b	\boxtimes	7c		7d	\boxtimes
						Fiel	d or	iento	ation				
Direction	Direction D	7d			Direction			(N		



5 Sports performance

5.1 **Ball rebound**

Hockey balls should not bounce too high or too low; the bounce also needs to be consistent. These aspects of a field's performance are assessed by measuring the height a hockey ball rebounds when dropped vertically from a height of 2.0 m. Tests are made in a number of locations on the field. For a field to comply, the rebound in each test position must be within the specified range, and the rebound properties must be consistent across the field.

Results (mm)												
TP1		TP2	TP3		TP4	TP5		TP6		Overall mean		
324		314	326		326	338		317		326		
Doquiromonts: 100 mm			400 mm	Co	mpliant:	Yes		X				
Requirement	5.	100 11111	400 11111			No						
Ball rebound	cor	nsistency (%	% differen	ce to	overall mean))						
TP1		TP2	TP3		TP4	TP5		TP6		Overall mean		
-0.42	-3.49		+0.07	7	+0.14	+3.70		-2.81		<u>+</u> 1,77		
		- ± 1	0%	Co~	anliant:	Yes		\square				
Requirement	э.	$\leq \pm 1$	070	CON	ιριιατι.	No						





5.2 **Ball roll**

Ball roll assesses the speed of the surface. It is measured by rolling a hockey ball down a ramp and measuring the distance it travels and the degree to which it deviates from a straight line. Tests are made in a number of locations on the field and in different directions. To satisfy the FIH requirements the ball roll must exceed the minimum ball roll distance, be consistent irrespective of direction and not excessively deviate from a straight line.

Results (m)											
TD				Di	rectio	n of te	st				
I P	А	B1		B2	2		С	D1	D2		
7a	15.61	16	.71	13.3	36	13	3.66	13.10	16.77		
7b	13.52	17	.00			15	5.33	12.72			
7c	12.61	16	.53	16.3	35	15	5.83	14.29	12.89		
7d	13.30	13	.53			14	4.27	16.44			
Overall mean 14.69											
Deminente	6	Ľ t	Y	es	\boxtimes						
Requirements:	≥ 10.0 m		Compliant:		N	lo					
Ball roll consiste	ncy (% differe	nce to	overal	mean)							
7a	+6.28	+13	3.77	-9.0)6	-6.99		-10.85	+14.15		
7b	-7.97	+2	.00			+2	4.37	-13.42			
7c	-14.19	+12	2.52	+11.	29	+7	7.73	-2.71	-12.28		
7d	-9.49	-7	.92			-2	2.86	+11.91			
					Y	es					
Requirements:	≤ ±10%	1	Comp	liant:	N	10					
If an eviating field		a tha 5			ve eli s :-	+					
or converted to	a that exceed a Category 2	s the F field tio	ck the c	errea G adjacent	box	its and	is being	resurraced			
Dequirements	< +1⊑0%		Comp	liant	Y	es	\boxtimes				
Requirements.	S II 370	,		munt.	N	lo					





5.3 **Ball roll deviation**

Field test results (m)										
Direction of test										
ΠΡ	А	E	31	B2			С		D1	D2
7a	0.17	0.	0.17		0.15		0.17		0.10	0.03
7b	0.31	0.	08				0.15	C).07	
7c	0.03	0.	04 0.		0.08		0.05	C).11	0.12
7d	0.12	0.	10				0.13	C	0.08	
Requirements:	<050m@() 5 m	Comp	liant	Ye	S				
	≤ 0.50 m @ 9.5 m		Compliant:		No	>				

5.4 Shock Absorption

Shock absorption assesses the cushioning provided to players as they run and fall on the surface. The impact force experienced during the test is measured and compared to the value measured on concrete; the result being expressed as a percentage reduction. The higher the result the greater the shock absorption. A minimum value is specified to ensure fields are not too hard and an upper limit is specified to ensure fields are not too soft or tiring.

Results (% Force Reduction)											
TP1		TP2	TP3		P3 TP		TP5		TP6	Overall mean	
54.80		55.80	5	5.05	55.5	55.55		4.45	56.30	55.33	
Doguiromonto: (E0/ 4/			20%	Compli	anti	Yes 🛛 🛛		\square			
Requirements: 4		45% - 0	J %0	Compliant:		N	No				
Shock absor	otic	on consister	ncy (di	ifference	to over	all mea	an)				
-0.52		+0.48	_(0.27	+0.2	+0.23		0.87	+0.98	<u>+</u> 0.56	
				1		1					
Requirements:				Commil		Ye	es	\boxtimes			
		: ≤±5		Compil	Compliant:		0				





Vertical Deformation 5.5

The degree to which a playing surface compresses when a player runs on it is also an important characteristic. Surfaces should allow some deformation to ensure injuries do not occur through the jarring of a player's foot, but it is also important that the deformation is not too high, or players will find the surface unstable.

Field test results (mm)											
TP1	TP2	TP3		TP	4	TP5		TP6		Overall mean	
7.00	7.20	6.70		7.30		7.30		7.15		7.11	
Dequirement					Yes		\boxtimes				
Requirement	s: 4 mm – 9	: 4 mm – 9 mm		Compliant:		0					

5.6 Shoe/Surface Interaction (Nm)

Shoe/surface interaction is assessed by measuring the resistance the surface offers to a loaded test plate designed to simulate a hockey shoe rotating on the surface. If the level of resistance is too low players will find the surface slippery. If the level is too high players may suffer injuries due to excessive foot grip.

Results (Nm)											
TP1		TP2		TP3		4	TP5			TP6	Overall mean
40.92		39.68	4	1.90	41.2	41.24		41.62		41.70	41.18
Doquiromont	<u> </u>	2E Nm (ENIm	Co		Ye	es				
Requirements: 25 Nm – 2		25 MM – 4			npliant:						
Shoe/surface	e In	teraction co	onsist	ency (vo	ariation t	o over	all me	an Nm)			
+0.26		+1.50		072	-0.0	06	_	0.44		-0.52	<u>+</u> 0.58
Requirement	s.	<+ 5 Nr	n	Comp	iant [.]	Υe	es				
Requirements		0 11		50p		N	o 🗌				





5.7 <u>Surface regularity</u>

It is important that there are no depressions or high spots that could distort the trajectory of a ball rolling across the surface or cause it to lift. The whole field is surveyed using a 3 m straightedge and any undulations greater than 6 mm recorded. Any sudden steps (raised edges on carpet or shockpad joints, etc.) are also checked using a 0.3 m straightedge.

Excessive undulations or high spots							
	Maximum limit	Number of excessive undulations recorded	Com	pliant			
7 m straightedge	6 mm	0	Yes	\boxtimes			
5 m straighteage		0	No				
	-	0	Yes	\boxtimes			
0.5 m straighteage	5 mm	0	No				
If undulations or high spots are found their position and magnitude are indicated on the drawing at the rear							

6 Field dimensions

of this report.

The field of play shall measure 91.40 m x 55.00 m. End run-offs must be at least 3.0m wide, and side run-offs must be at least 2.0m wide. The inner run-offs must be surfaced with the same hockey turf as the field of play.

Field measurements (m)								Compliant	
L		_ength			Yes	No			
Field of pic	y .	91.40			55.00				
Field of plo	ay diagonals (m)	1	106.66	2	2 106.71				
Difference	between diagona	s (mm)	5	Require	Requirement ≤ 300 mm				
Run-offs (I	m)								
	Inner run-	off		Outer run-off Total			Compliant		
	Surface	Width	S	urface	Width	width	Yes	No	
End 1	Artificial Turf	4.10	Paved :	surface	0.72	4.82	\boxtimes		
End 2	Artificial Turf	4.10	Paved :	surface	0.72	4.82	\boxtimes		
Side 1	Artificial Turf	1.70	Paved :	surface	1.30	3.0.	\boxtimes		
Side 2	Artificial Turf	1.70	Paved :	surface	1.30	3.00	\boxtimes		





7 Hockey line markings

Line markings are checked to ensure compliance with the Rules of Hockey and the HTFS.







Line width	A1	75	A2	75	Q	1	75	Q2	2 75	75 ± 10	mm		
Distance (m)	Tolerance	Ref.	Actua	l (m)	Error	С	omplic	ant	Ref.	Actual (m)	Error	Com	oliant
55.00	± 50 mm	A1	54.9	98	0.02]		A2	55.01	0.01		
	. 50	B1	45.7	70	0.0]		B2	45.68	0.02		
45.70	± 50 mm	B3	45.6	95	0.05]		B4	45.69	0.01		
22.00	. 50	C1	22.9	90	0.00		I I		C2	22.89	0.01		
22.90	± 50 mm	C3	22.8	89	0.01]		C4	22.90	0.00		
		D1	0.3	0	0.00]		D2	0.30	0.00		
		D3	0.3	0	0.00]		D4	0.30	0.00		
0.70	. 70	D5	0.3	0	0.00]		D6	0.30	0.00		
0.50	± 50 mm	D7	0.3	0	0.00]		D8	0.30	0.00		
		D9	0.3	0	0.00]		D10	0.30	0.00		
		D11	0.3	0	0.00]		D12	0.30	0.00		
5.00	± 30 mm	E1	5.0	1	0.01]		E2	4.98	0.02		
3.00	± 50 mm	F1	2.9	8	0.02]		F2	2.99	0.01		
0.30	± 30 mm	G1	0.3	0	0.00]		G2	0.30	0.30		
(075	. 50	H1	4.97	75	0.00]		H2	4.980	0.01		
4.975	± 50 mm	H3	4.97	75	0.00]		H4	4.975	0.00		
0.075	. 50	11	9.9	75	0.00]		12	9.975	0.00		
9.975	± 50 mm	13	9.9	75	0.00]		14	9.975	0.00		
14.63	± 30 mm	J1	14.0	53	0.00]		J2	14.62	0.01		
14.63	± 30 mm	J3	14.0	52	0.01]		J4	14.62	0.01		
3.66	± 50 mm	К1	3.6	6	0.00]		K2	3.66	0.00		
6.475	± 30 mm	L1	6.4	75	0.00]		L2	6.475	0.00		
0.45	. 70	M1	0.1	.5	0.00]		M2	0.15	0.00		
0.15	± 30 mm	M4	0.1	.5	0.00]		M5	0.15	0.00		
Ø 0.15	± 30 mm	M3	0.1	.5	0.00]		Mó	0.15	0.00	\boxtimes	
3.66	± 50 mm	N1	3.6	6	0.00]		N2	3.66	0.00		
14.63	± 50 mm	P1	14.0	53	0.00]		P2	14.63	0.00	\boxtimes	
14.63	± 50 mm	P3	14.0	53	0.00]		P4	14.63	0.00		
91.40	± 50 mm	Q1	91.3	38	0.02]		Q2	91.37	0.03		





8 Playing surface					Yes	No
Is the installed ho	ckey turf an FIH	Global certifie	d product?			
an approved shade of blue (RAL, 5002, 5005, 5010, 5017, 5019)						
IS the field of play	? ?	green		\boxtimes		
What are the cold	ours of the perim	eter run-offs?	Red		1 1	
			Field of Play (FoP)		\boxtimes	
Are the yarn co approved produc	olours used, de t test report?	etailed in the	Run-offs			
	·		Lines		\boxtimes	
Does the field hav	ve 5m dashed ci	rcle markings?			\boxtimes	
Does the field have cross pitch hockey markings?						\boxtimes
Does the field hav	ve markings for	any other spor	ts?			\boxtimes
FoP?						
Does the field have any logos within the: Run-offs?					\boxtimes	
	ree from manufacturing and	visual	\boxtimes			
	Are there any carpet rucks, wrinkles, or any other installation defects within the FOP or run-offs?					\boxtimes
Dlay surface	Are there any excessively open or failed carpet joints?					\boxtimes
Play surface quality and installation	Are the any joints that may cause a ball to lift or deviate as passes over the joint?			e as it		
	Are there any other manufacturing or installation defects that mean in your opinion the field should not be certified?			that		
	Is the surface laid in full width rolls running across the FOP without head seams?					





				Yes	No
	Is the hockey	bonded to the shockpad?			_
	turf	tensioned and clamped along the boundaries?		\boxtimes	
	Are there any repairs to the playing surface?				\boxtimes
Play surface quality and installation	If there are any repairs, have they been undertaken in a satisfactory way, so they do not compromise the performance or appearance of the field?				
	If there are any defects or repairs, has the field owner confirmed in writing they are still willing to accept the field?				

9 General field requirements

Orientation	Is the field aligned North /South (± 15°)	\boxtimes				
FoP drainage	FoP drainage During the irrigation test was water found to be standing on the hockey turf?					
	Does the fencing ensure balls cannot pass through it and leave the field?					
Perimeter fencing	Is the fencing in an acceptable condition and not pose a risk to anyone colliding with it?					
	Is there emergency vehicle access onto the field?	\boxtimes				
	Is the field equipped with hockey goals and nets?	\boxtimes				
Field equipment	Are the goals FIH Approved?		\boxtimes			
	Are the goals in good condition and suitable for use on an FIH certified field?	\boxtimes				
Maintenance equipmentIs the field equipped with the necessary maintenance equipment, recommended by the hockey turf manufacturer?						
Are there any other features that you consider may have an adverse effect on the						
playing qualities of the field or could be a possible hazard to players, officials or						
spectators using the facility?						





Field profile and gradients 10

The profile and gradients of the field should comply with Clause 4.2 of the HTFS.







11 Field Irrigation







12 Hockey turf quality assurance tests

To verify that the hockey turf installed on the field is the same as the FIH Approved Product, and manufacturer's declaration, representative samples have been checked.

	Characteristic	Manufacturer's declaration	Site sample	Permitted tolerance	Compliant	
		·	·		Yes	No
	Method of manufacture	Tufted	Tufted Tufted		\boxtimes	
	Pile type	Monofilament Textile fibre	Monofilamen t Textile fibre	Same type		
	Pile profile	Ellipse	Ellipse	Same profile	\boxtimes	
bet	Pile height (mm)	10	10.0	<u>+</u> 10%	\boxtimes	
carp	Pile weight (g/m²)	1,850	1,805	<u>+</u> 10%	\boxtimes	
turf	Pile dtex	8,600	8,300	± 10%	\boxtimes	
ockey	Pile thickness (mm)	160 90	162 84	≥ 90%		
Ĕ	Yarn polymer (DSC)	PE	PE	Same polymer	\boxtimes	
	Tufts/m ²	71,400	72,479	<u>+</u> 10%	\boxtimes	
	Filaments/m ²	5,807,200	5,798,320	<u>+</u> 10%	\boxtimes	
	Carpet mass g/m ²	3,400	3,072	<u>+</u> 10%		\boxtimes
	Water permeability (mm/h)	>500	780	<u>></u> 90%	\boxtimes	
	Composition (1)					
-	Manufacturer (1)					
kpaa	Thickness ⁽¹⁾ (mm)	Samples not available for assessment		90% - 130%		
hoc	Mass/m²			<u>+</u> 10%		
ν N	Shock absorption ⁽²⁾ (%FR)			<u>+</u> 5% SA		
	Water permeability (mm/h)			<u>></u> 90%		

Notes:

1 - not applicable if an existing shockpad is retained when a field is being re-surfaced.

2 - applicable to new fields or when a new shockpad is laid on an existing field during re-surfacing.





13 Plan showing location of any defects, failures, or items of concern

14 FIH comments

This field has been tested to assess its suitability for use in the FIH Pro League. As the field test was undertaken a number of years after the installation of the hockey turf, site samples of the shockpad, etc were not available. Therefore the FIH is unable to comment on the suitability of the installed shockpad, especially with respect to its longer term durability.





15 Test institute declaration

We certify that the tests described in this report have been carried out in accordance with the latest requirements of the FIH Hockey Turf and Field Standards and this report accurately reflects the outcomes.

We further certify that in our opinion there were no defects that compromise the quality, performance, player safety, or durability of the field at the time it was tested.

Report prepared	d by	M. Schult				
		Name	Matthias Schucht			
Report authorise	ed by	0. S				
		Name	Oliver Schneider			
Date	18/03/2021					





Rue du Valentin 61 1004 Lausanne Switzerland

www.fih.ch